



JRW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No : 10/688,786 Confirmation No.: 9558  
Applicants : Henry R. Costantino and Joyce M. Hotz  
Filed : October 17, 2003  
TC/A.U : 1653 Examiner: Anand W. Desai  
Docket No : 1733.2025-003  
Customer No : 000038421  
Title : Microencapsulation and Sustained Release of Biologically Active Polypeptides

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

11/8/04  
Date

Judy Breen  
Signature

Judy Breen

Typed or printed name of person signing certificate

**REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This reply is in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed from the USPTO on October 21, 2004. Applicants elect Group I with traverse.

The Examiner states that Groups I, II and III are unrelated. This is untrue. Groups I and III are related as a genus/species. Indeed, Group III represents the combination of the preferred components of Claim 1. Compare Claims 4, 13 and 18 with Claim 31, for example. Clearly, the Examiner will search Claim 31 by simply searching Claims 4, 13 and 18.

Application No.: 10/688,786  
Reply to Office action of October 21, 2004

Groups I and II are related as a combination/subcombination. Because Claim 23 (the combination) requires the particulars of Claim 1 (the subcombination), the Examiner cannot satisfy the burden for maintaining the restriction as required in MPEP 806.05(c).

Groups III and IV are related as products and methods of use. The Examiner asserts that the methods of Group IV (e.g., Claim 36) can be practiced with a materially different product. This is untrue. While the Examiner did not explain what product could be practiced, the following scenario is explanatory. It is true that Type 2 diabetes can be treated by administering exendin-4, without the use of a biocompatible polymer. However, such an administration would not be within the scope of Group IV. As such, the methods of Group IV cannot be practiced with a product other than the products of Group III.

Withdrawal of the restriction is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ELMORE CRAIG, P.C.

By   
Carolyn S. Elmore  
Registration No. 37,567  
Telephone: (978) 251-3509  
Facsimile: (978) 251-3973

Chelmsford, MA 01863

Dated: 11/4/04